UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,546	09/14/2005	Martine Barth	11123.0101USWO	1427
23552 MERCHANT &	7590 06/06/200 & GOULD PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2903		MURRAY, JEFFREY H		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/549,546	BARTH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		JEFFREY H. MURRAY	1624				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>JEFFREY H. MURRAY</u> .		(3)					
(2) <u>ERIC DEMASTER</u> .		(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>04 June 2008</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 10</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>NONE</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <a href="Examiner and applicant discussed claim 10"><u>Examiner and applicant discussed claim 10 in particular, which appeared to have an improper Markush preaamble ("comprises" instead of "consisting of") and also had compounds listed in claim 10 which did not appear to fit with the compounds of claim 1. Applicant will consult with inventors and respond by Friday, June 6, 2008.  **June 6, 2008**  **June 6, 20</u></a>							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
Everying Note: Very report sign this farms unless it is		/Jeffrey H Murray/ Examiner, Art Unit 1624 /JOW/	rod				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is Attachment to a signed Office action.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
	nterview	Summary	Paper	No. 20080604			